



DFW

PTO/SB/21 (02-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM <small>(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)</small>	Application Number	101 600 904	
	Filing Date	6-20-2003	
	First Named Inventor	Robert Sigurd Nelson	
	Art Unit	2882	
	Examiner Name	Irakli Kiknadze	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	3	Attorney Docket Number	

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	Remarks <i>Signature of 2nd Inventor added to 9-09-2004 response.</i>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual name	Robert Sigurd Nelson
Signature	<i>Robert Sigurd Nelson</i>
Date	10-07-2005

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.		
Typed or printed name	Robert Sigurd Nelson	
Signature	<i>Robert Sigurd Nelson</i>	Date 10-07-2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Appl. No. : 10/600,904
Applicants : Robert Sigurd Nelson, William Bert Nelson
Filing Date : June 20, 2003
Examiner : Irakli Kiknadze
Art Unit : 2882
Title : DEVICE AND SYSTEM FOR IMPROVED IMAGING IN NUCLEAR
MEDICINE AND MAMMOGRAPHY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

October 3, 2005

Dear Mr. Kiknadze:

In response to the Office Action post marked September 9, 2004, please see the following remarks for application 10/600,904. In response to the Office Action of 9/29/2005 the signature of the second inventor is included.

REMARKS

In the office action post marked September 9, 2004 the Examiner rejected claims 57-59 based on Walters.

Applicants will address first the rejection of claim 57 as being anticipated by Walters. Walters describes a dual-energy CT systems which is based on obtaining two arrays of data values representative of beam attenuation at two different energy levels. The two distinct (high and low) energy levels S_1 and S_2 are the result of two different x-ray tube voltage levels (high and low KVPs such as 120 KVP and 70 KVP). That is, two distinctly different, broad bandwidth x-ray spectra. Furthermore the data values are in the form of analog signals that are proportional to the detected beam intensities. See Col. 13: lines 56-68, col. 14: 1-12. The data are combined from the two scans to synthesize two images (photoelectric and Compton or equivalently bone and tissue). The calibration procedure Walters refers to in col. 10: lines 16-34 requires the development of a table of photoelectric and Compton values in terms of intensities I_1 and the ratio I_2/I_1 . Walters performs air scan at two energy (KVP or tube voltage levels) to develop high and low energy beam profiles. These are broad bandwidth x-ray beam spectra and the detectors are analog (integrators). There is no energy resolution!

Walters has described a means of calibrating a CT detector using two different (High and Low KVP) x-ray beams for dual energy imaging. The CT detector is analog and therefore lacks energy resolution for individual photons. The analog signals are proportional to the intensities of the x-ray beams and energy distributions that reach the